





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,160	12/29/2000	Merle L. Miller	2069.008400	8960
23720 75	90 12/10/2003		EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
HOUSTON, T	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001111, 11			2644	^
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	ر ا

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O
Office Assis a Ossu	09/752,160	MILLER ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MAII INO DATE AND	Jefferey F. Harold	2644	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	i the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communicati  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on (2/20/0.0		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,12-14,16,17,19,20,22			
7) Claim(s) 8,11,15,18 and 21 is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Application Papers	n and/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	v the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	• • •	` '	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	,		` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for one since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language.	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § n the first sentence of the specificat	119(e) (to a provisional applica ion or in an Application Data St	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for or reference was included in the first senten	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121 since a specif	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper N	o. 3



Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "the signal" lacks antecedent bases, for the purpose of the rejection recited below the examiner assumes the limitation to be "the digital signal". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, 10,12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhou et al (United States Patent 5,878,133), hereinafter referenced as Zhou.

Regarding **claim 1**, Zhou discloses a digital direct current feed control for a communication system. In addition, Zhou discloses a process for DC feed control for a line card, consisting of:

using an analog-to-digital converter (52) for processing voice signals, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;

receiving a signal from a subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;



Art Unit: 2644

converting the signal to a digital signal using the analog-to-digital converter utilized for processing voice signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;

adjusting the digital control circuit (50), which reads on claimed "DC feed control", of line card based on the digital signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 27-38 and exhibited in figure 1.

Regarding **claim 2**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Zhou discloses wherein adjusting the digital control circuit (50) includes:

integrating the digital signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 56-65 and exhibited in figures 1-3;

adjusting a voltage provided to the subscriber line based on the integrated signal, as disclosed at column 6, line 47-54; column 6 line 61 through column 8, line 52 and exhibited figures 1-3;

Regarding **claim 3**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2) in addition Zhou discloses wherein the digital signal is inherently a DC signal, since the signal is received from the battery feed circuit (38) as, disclosed at column 6, lines 9-38 and exhibited in figures 1-3.

Regarding **claim 4**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2) in addition Zhou discloses wherein the digital signal is representative of a current flowing from the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figures 1-3.



Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 5**, Zhou discloses a process for DC feed control for a line card, consisting of:

determining if the line card is operating in a current limit region of a DC feed curve, as disclosed at column 6, lines 38-54 and exhibited in figures 2 and 3;

synthesizing a curve in the current limit region, as disclosed at column 5, line 54 through column 6, line 8 and exhibited in figure 2;

determining the loop voltage based on the synthesis curve, as disclosed at column 6, lines 9-21 and exhibited in figure 2;

applying the loop voltage to the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 9-38 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 6**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5), in addition Zhou discloses a process wherein determining if the line card is operating in the current limit region includes:

generating a current value proportional to a loop current flowing from the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, line 9-38 and exhibited in figure 3;

determining if the line card is operating in the current limit region of the DC feed curve in response to generating the current value, as disclosed at column 6, line 9-64 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 7**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 6), in addition Zhou discloses wherein determining if the lie card is operating in the current limit region of the DC feed curve in response to generating the current value

Art Unit: 2644

includes determining if the loop current is greater than the first preselected value as disclosed at column 6, line 46 though column 8, line 51 and exhibited in figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23, Zhou discloses everything claimed. In addition claims 9, 10,12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23 are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 1-7.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8, 11, 15, 18 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Page 6

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is (703) 306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4800.

JFH

December 8, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER